From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

PCT

NOTIFICATION CONCERNING TRANSMITTAL OF COPY OF INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTA BILITY ICHAPTER I OF THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY)

(PCT Rule 44bis, Icc))

10

DIPPERT, William, H.
Reed Smith LLP
599 Loxington Avenue, 29th Floor
New York, NY 10022-7650
ETATS-UNIS D'AMERIQUE

Dute of mailing (day/mands/year) 13 April 2006 (13.04.2006)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 386/04200

IMPORTANT NOTICE

Imemational application No. PCT/US2004/030807

International filing data (day/month/year) 20 September 2004 (20.09.2004)

Priority date (ulti/month/psur) 18 September 2003 (18.09.2003)

Applicant

VISION-SCIENCES, INC. et al

The International Bureau transmits horowith a copy of the international proliminary report on patentability (Chapter I of the Patent Compension Transp)

MOTEBROCK
(*/8/09

4200

RECEIVED

Dacksted By____

The International Surces of WIPO 34, chemic des Colombetes 1211 Geseve 30, Switzerland

Authorized officer

Beate Giffo-Schmitt

Facsimile No.+41 22 740 14 35

Pacsimile No.441 22 338 87 20

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44hia)

Applicant's or agent's life actions as POR FORTHER ACTION See item 4 bolyw 386/04200					
International application No. PCT/US2004/030807	Priority data (day/amath/year) 18 September 2003 (18.09.2003)				
Instructional Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237					
Applican Vision-Sciences, INC.					

· },	. This international proliminary report on pulentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Scientific Authority ander Rule 44 bis. I(a).				
2.	This RIPORT consists of a total of 4 sheets, including this cover sheet,				
	In the attached sheats, any referentiant preferrings		the International Searching Authority should be used as a reference sur I) instead.		
3. This report contains indications relating to the fellowing items:					
	Rox No. I	Basis of the report			
	Box No. R	Priority			
	Hox No. 81	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to movelly, inventive step and industrial applicability			
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention			
	Sox No. V	Reasonal statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; clustions and explanations supporting such statement			
	Box No. VI	Certain documents chail			
	Box No. Vii	Certain defects in the inte	rnational application		
	Box No. VIII	Hox No. VIII Certain observations on the international application			
4.	The leternational Burese will a not, excess where the applican due (Rule 4455 . 2).	zeh ut troger ziet eitschemmer und teetteet exerque na zoken t	ignated Offices in accordance with Rules 44 <i>bis</i> ,3(e) and 93 <i>bis</i> .1 but for Acticle 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority		
			Date of issuance of this report 03 April 2006 (03.04.2006)		
	The International Bur 34, chemin des Co	olombetus	Authorized afficer Beate Glffo-Schmitt		
Pacsis	1311 (%eneva 20, 9 mile No. +41 22 740 14 35	waxarana	Telephone No. +41 22 338 87 20		

Form PCT(7)8/373 (January 2004)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the			. a vana			Anna Proposition Commence of the Commence of t	
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To:						PCTECO 13 MAR 2	006
WILLIAM H. DIPPERT			The same of the sa				
REED SMITH LLP 599 LEXINGTON AVENUE		a track	WPQ WITH	FCT			
29TH PLOOR				TTEN OPINI <mark>ON OF THE</mark> NAL SEARCHING AUTHORIT	v		
GEW YOR	K, NY 10022-7	630			W. 133111 11 23E		~
				1	(PCT Rule 43b/s.1)		
					Date of mailing (day/month/year)		
Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION							
386/04200						See paragraph 2 below	
Internation	al application No.		internati	onal filing date	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)	
PCT/US04			 	mber 2004 (20)		18 September 2003 (18.09.2003)	
internation	al Patent Classific	ation (IPC) (or both nat	ional classificat	ion and IPC		
	L61M 25/00(200	<u>601)</u>					
Applicant	04/527	***************************************					***************************************
	CIENCES, INC.						
		***************************************	**********		***************************************		
1. This c	șinion contaîns ît	idications rel	sting to th	e following iten	181	Sta by	
Box No. I Basis of the opinion					pery due 18/		
Box No. II Priority				V (81)	~ ~]		
Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with r			'opinica with n	sgard to novelty, inver	nive men and industrial applicability		
Bex No. IV Lack of unity of invention					Ç		
Box No. V Reseased statement under Rule 43bix I(z)(I) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; clintions and explanations supporting such statement							
	Box No. Vi	Certain dos	ziments ci	ted			
	Box No. VII	Certain dei	ects in the	international a	application		
Box No. VIII Cortain abservations on the international application			neitspiiggs land				
2 FURTHER ACTION							
If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written epinion of the international Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority edger than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1646(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.							
If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/(SA/22) or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.							
For further optique, see Porta PCT/18A/128.							
3. For further details, see noise to Form PCT/ISA/226.							
Name and	muiling sådress	of the ISA/L	JS	Date of comu	letion of this opinion	Authorized officer	·····
	Mail Stop PCT, Att Commissioner for F	n: ISA/US			086 (20.02.2006)	Manuel Mandaz ///	l kres
	P.O. Sax 1450				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Manuel Mandaz Tejephone Na. 783-000-006	7
	Alexandria, Virgini No. (571) 273-32					Telephone Na. 783-000-006	V

Form PCT/15A/237 (cover sheet) (April 2005)

33

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/US04/30507

Bux No. I Basis of this opinion					
1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of					
\boxtimes	••••				
	a translation of the international application into, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).				
2. With regard to any assistante and/or amine acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed investion, this opinion has been established on the basis of:					
ž.,	type of material				
	a sectiones parties.				
	table(s) related to the sequence listing				
ъ.	formal of material				
	nn paper				
	in electronic form				
₩.	time of filing/furnishing				
	contained in the international application as filed.				
	filed together with the international application in electronic form				
	Girnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.				
	(common probability to my common of any cas bathages as some				
3. □	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional capies is identical to that in the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.				
4. Addi	itional comments:				

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US04/30807

Claims NONE NO Inventive step (IS) Claims 1-48 Claims NONE NO NO	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule applicability; citations and expir	43 bis.1(u)(i) with regard to nevelty, in- mations supporting such statement	rantive step or industrial
Inventive step (IS) Claims NONE Claims 148 Claims NONE Industrial applicability (IA) Claims 148 Claims 10NE Claims 10NE Claims 148 YES Claims NONE NO 2. Citations and explanations: Claims 1-48 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest, inter alia, a probe assembly wherein the central longitudinal axis of the elongate tube does not coincide with the central axis of the braided tube. Claims 1-48 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed as	1. Statement		
Inventive step (IS) Claims 1-48 Claims 1-48 Claims 1-48 Claims 1-48 Claims 1-48 Claims 1-48 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed as Claims 1-48 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed as	The independent	Claims 1-48	X£2
Industrial applicability (IA) Claims 1-48 Claims NONE NO Claims NONE Claims NONE NO Claims NONE N	tanion's (v.)		0.4.34
Industrial applicability (IA) Claims 1-48 Claims NONE NO Claims NONE Claims NONE NO Claims NONE N		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	્છળાક
Industrial applicability (IA) Claims 1-48 Claims NONE Claims NONE Claims 1-48 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed as	inventive step (IS)		
Claims NONE NO Claims NONE NO Claims 1-48 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach as fairly suggest, inter alia, a probe assembly wherein the central longitudinal axis of the elongate tube does not coincide with the central axis of the braided tube. Claims 1-48 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed as		Canana Maria	A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR
2. Citations and explanations: Claims 1-48 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest, inter alia, a probe assembly wherein the central longitudinal exis of the elongate tube does not coincide with the central axis of the braided tube. Claims 1-48 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed as	Industrial applicability (IA)		3.50
Claims 1-48 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest, inter alia, a probe assembly wherein the central longitudinal axis of the elongate tube does not coincide with the central axis of the braided tabe. Claims 1-48 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed as		Claims NONE	W
	Claims 1-48 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article		